

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/501,824 | STEK ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Mujtaba K. Chaudry | 2133 | |

All Participants:
Status of Application: Mi

 (1) Mujtaba K. Chaudry.

(3) _____.

 (2) Michael Belk.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 October 2006
Time: 5pm est
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:


12-14

Prior art documents discussed:


n/a

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Agreement was reached regarding amendments to claims 12-14 to overcome rejections under 35 USC 101.
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)



(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)